

Masonry - how to account for cracking

Some finite element analysis programs do not account for flexural cracking that occurs when the tension component of a flexural bending moment exceeds the rupture capacity of the masonry. Rupture of the masonry greatly reduces the moment of inertia for additional loading beyond the associated cracking moment. Therefore, a reduction in wall stiffness must be included to correctly model the behavior of the masonry wall. Below is an example of how to adjust the properties within the model to accommodate the reduction in wall stiffness.

Given: 8" normal weight CMU wall with #5 rebar at 32" oc supporting a single bay of steel roof bar joists spanning 20'-0" (assume 4" joist bearing thus e = 7.625/2 - 4/2 = 1.81" wall weight = 51psf per NCMA TEK 14-13B $A_{net} = 46.0 \text{ in}^2/\text{ft}$ $S_{net} = 90.1 \text{ in}^{3}/\text{ft}$ $S_{avg} = 94.6 \text{ in}^{3}/\text{ft}$ Iavg = 360.5 in⁴/ft [NCMA TEK 14-1B] I_{net} = 343.7 in⁴/ft S_{net}, I_{net} values for strength capacity Savg, lavg values for stiffness and deflection f'_m = 2500psi Roof height = 20'-0" with a 3'-0" parapet Wind Load: $W_u = 30psf; W_s = 0.6(30) = 18psf$ 1. The cracked moment of inertia is influenced by the factored vertical loads bearing on the wall. Roof Dead Load: P_f , DL = 15psf (20'/2) = 150plf P_{uf} , DL = 1.2 (150plf) = 180plf Roof Snow Load: $P_{f}LL = 35psf(20'/2) = 350plf$ P_{uf} , LL = 1.6 (350plf) = 560plf Wall Dead Load: $P_w = 51psf(20'/2 + 3') = 663plf$ $P_{uw} = 1.2 (663) = 796 plf$ $P_{uf} = 180 + 560 = 740 plf$ $P_f = 150 + 350 = 500 plf$ $P = P_w + P_f = 1163plf$ $P_{u} = P_{uw} + P_{uf} = 1536plf$ 2. Calculate distance extreme compression fiber to neutral axis, c $A_s = 0.31 in^2 (12/32) = 0.116 in^2/ft$ $f_v = 60.000$ psi $c = (A_s f_y + P_u)/(0.64 f_m b) = [(0.116)(60,000) + 1536] / [0.64(2500)(12)] = 0.443"$ [TMS402-13 Equation 9-35] 3. Calculate gross and cracked moments of inertia, I_a, I_{cr} Em = 900f'_m = 900 (2500) = 2,250,000psi n = Es/Em = 29,000,000/2,250,000 = 12.9d = 7.625"/2 = 3.81" $I_{g} = I_{avg} = 360.5$ in⁴/ft (For stiffness and deflection, use the average values from NCMA) $Icr = n(As + Pu/fy)(d-c)^2 + bc^3/3$ (Simplified equation for centered rebar) $I_{cr} = 12.9[0.116 + 1536/60,000)(3.81-0.443)^2 + (12)(0.443)^3/3 = 21.1 in^4/ft$ [TMS402-13 Equation 9-34]

2017

- 4. Calculate cracking moment for stiffness, M_{cr} [TMS402-13 Section 9.3.5.4.4] $f_r = 104 \text{ psi}$ [TMS402-13 Table 9.1.9.2] $M_{cr} = f_r S_{avg} = (104 \text{ psi})(94.6 \text{ in}^3/\text{ft}) = 9838 \text{ in}\#/\text{ft} < M_{ser}$, use TMS402-13 equation 9-30
- 5. Calculate service deflection, delta_s [TMS402-13 Section 9.3.5.5.1]

Iteration is required thus start with the assumption: $delta_{s0} = 0$ in

$$\begin{split} M_{ser1} &= w_sh^2/8 + P_{feu}/2 + Pdelta_{s0} = (18psf)(20')^2(12)/8 + 500plf(1.81'')/2 + 0 = 11,253 \text{ in } \#/ft \\ delta_{s1} &= 5M_{cr}h^2/(48E_ml_{avg}) + 5(M_{ser1} - M_{cr})h^2/(48E_ml_{cr}) = 0.255'' \end{split}$$

 $M_{ser2} = w_sh^2/8 + P_fe_u/2 + Pdelta_{s1} = 11,550 \text{ in}\#/ft$ delta_{s2} = 5M_{cr}h²/(48E_mI_{avg}) + 5(M_{ser2} - M_{cr})h²/(48E_mI_{cr}) = 0.293"

$$\begin{split} M_{ser3} &= w_sh^2/8 + P_{f}e_u/2 + Pdelta_{s3} = 11,593 \text{ in} \#/ft \\ delta_{s3} &= 5M_{cr}h^2/(48E_mI_{avg}) + 5(M_{ser3} - M_{cr})h^2/(48E_mI_{cr}) = 0.298\text{`` (within 2\% conversion)} \end{split}$$

delta_{s,max} = 0.007h = 0.007(240) = 1.68" > 0.298" OK

 Determine adjusted modulus of elasticity (E_{adj}) for equivalent flexure based on I_{avg} (assuming the software accounts for partial grouting)

Using deltacr and deltas, max calculate a weighted average stiffness for Eadjlavg

 $delta_{cr} = 5M_{cr}h^2/(48E_mI_{avg}) = 0.076"$ $delta_{s,max} = 0.298"$

Eadjlavg = Emlavg(deltacr / deltas,max) + Emlcr (deltas,max - deltacr) / deltas,max

Divide each side by Iavg

Eadj = Em(deltacr / deltas,max) + EmIcr (deltas,max - deltacr) / (deltas,maxIavg) = 673,979

To adjust the value of E in the model, typically a multiplier is required, thus multiplier = $E_{adj} / E_m = 673,979 / 2,250,000 = 0.30$

Pros:

- Provides more accurate deflections including Pdelta effects
- Provides more accurate flexural bending moments including Pdelta effects

Cons:

- Reduced modulus of elasticity may adversely affect other portions of the design
- Each masonry wall will require a unique calculation and adjustment factor based on loads
- Separate models may be required for capacity and deflection